

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/937,086	09/21/2001	Harald Blum	MO6652333671	4679
157 7	590 01/16/2003			
BAYER CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
100 BAYER R PITTSBURGH				
TTTTSBUKGI	1,174 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	
			abla	
			0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/937.086

Applicant(s)

Blum et al.

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit **1711**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 21, 2002 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) X This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6) X Claim(s) 23-44 Claim(s) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) X All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 15). Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No/s. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application PTO-152 3) __ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/937,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, it is unclear if the phrase, "in organic solution", is equivalent to requiring the polyurethane to be dissolved in an organic solvent. It is unclear if the phrase encompasses aqueous solutions (Claims 31 and 32 provide for the use of reactants containing hydrophilic groups).

Secondly, within line 14 of claim 1, alkoxysilane has been misspelled.

Lastly, it is unclear how to interpret applicants' response with respect to the issue of components a) and c) not being mutually exclusive when they have an overlapping molecular weight, in the case of the claims wherein the components are not limited by weight percent limitations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

January 12, 2003